

# Activity of Tigecycline Tested Against Non-Fermentative Gram-negative (NFB) Bacilli Other Than *P. aeruginosa*

TR FRITSCH, P STRABALA, HS SADER, RN JONES; The JONES Group/JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, IA

## AMENDED ABSTRACT

### Background:

Tigecycline (TIG; GAR-936) is the sentinel representative of a new antimicrobial class, glyicyclines, that displays an enhanced spectrum to both Gram-positive and -negative organisms and appears stable to resistance (R) mechanisms among commonly occurring pathogens. This report summarizes the susceptibility (S) of TIG against selected NFBs, a group that pose special therapeutic challenges.

### Methods:

The collection included 381 strains (155 *Acinetobacter* spp.; 44 *Aeromonas* spp.; 9 *Alcaligenes xylosoxidans*, 20 *B. cepacia* [BC], 5 *Chryseobacterium* spp. [CHR], 18 *Pseudomonas* spp. [PSP], 130 *S. maltophilia* [XM]) acquired internationally from various surveillance collections. MIC results were determined by NCCLS methods.

### Results:

TIG activity against NFB other than PSA.

Organism (n)	TIG MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )			% S <sup>a</sup>
	50%	90%	Range	
<i>Acinetobacter</i> spp. (155)	0.5	2	0.06-8	98.7
<i>Aeromonas</i> spp. (44)	0.25	0.5	0.12-1	100.0
<i>A. xylosoxidans</i> (9)	0.5	-	0.06-2	100.0
BC (20)	1	8	0.03-16	85.0
CHR (5)	8	-	4-8	40.0
PSP (non- <i>aeruginosa</i> ; 18)	8	16	0.12-32	44.4
XM (130)	1	2	0.12-8	99.2

a. Tentative breakpoint of  $\leq 4 \mu\text{g/ml}$ , as applied to tetracyclines [NCCLS, 2004].

TIG was very active against all tested species (MIC<sub>50</sub>,  $\leq 1 \mu\text{g/ml}$ , except CHR and PSP (MIC<sub>50</sub>, 8  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ). Also the TIG MIC<sub>90</sub> (8  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) for BC complex was modestly elevated; highest MIC = 16  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ . Potency of TIG appeared unaffected by R mechanisms frequently associated with these organisms.

### Conclusions:

Infections produced by NFB often occur in the setting of severe patient debilitation. Given the limited choices available for treatment of these serious infections produced by them, TIG offers promising activity and an enhanced spectrum worthy of continued development.

## INTRODUCTION

Non-fermentative Gram-negative bacilli and others such as *Aeromonas* spp. are bacteria that can be found in moist environments and are ubiquitous around people, animals, plants and water. These bacterial species are often recovered as nosocomial pathogens from patients with severe underlying conditions and contaminate hospital environments and therapeutic equipment. Nosocomial infections produced by these Gram-negative microorganisms are associated with significant morbidity and mortality due to the multidrug resistance to a broad range of antimicrobial agents. The treatment of these infections has become more difficult in recent years due to the increased prevalence of such infections and to the rising incidence of resistance to many of the therapeutic agents commonly used.

Tigecycline is a novel 9-t-butylglycylamido derivative of minocycline currently in clinical trials and has demonstrated potent activity against a variety of pathogens including Gram-positives, Enterobacteriaceae, anaerobes, *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, chlamydiae, and mycoplasmas. This compound acts on bacterial ribosomes by overlapping binding sites targeted by tetracyclines, but remains stable to the major tetracycline-resistance mechanisms; Tet M, Tet O protected ribosomes and efflux determinants. This broad spectrum of activity and a lack of cross resistance to other classes of antimicrobial agents makes tigecycline an attractive therapeutic option for the treatment of serious hospital or community-acquired infections.

This report summarizes the activity of tigecycline and comparator compounds when tested against a collection of non-fermentative Gram-negative bacilli (other than *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) and *Aeromonas* spp., a group of known pathogens with recognized resistance profiles to commonly utilized antimicrobial agents.

## RESULTS

- Susceptibility profiles for tigecycline and 13 comparators against 155 *Acinetobacter* spp. isolates are summarized in Table 1. Only tigecycline and imipenem demonstrated  $\geq 90\%$  susceptibility when applying a tentative breakpoint of  $\leq 4 \mu\text{g/ml}$  for tigecycline; all other agents were less active with  $\leq 72.3\%$  susceptibility.

- The MIC susceptibility results for *Aeromonas* and *Achromobacter* strains are summarized in Tables 2 and 3. Tigecycline was active ( $\leq 2 \mu\text{g/ml}$ ) against all strains including those classified as tetracycline resistant; other agents were variably active against both groups.

- Results of MIC testing of *Burkholderia cepacia* isolates are summarized in Table 4. High rates of resistance ( $\geq 70\%$ ) were observed for tetracycline, ticarcillin/clavulanate, and aminoglycosides. The antimicrobial agents with the greatest susceptibilities were ceftazidime = trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (90%) and cefepime = tigecycline (85%).

- Tables 5 and 6 show the susceptibility testing results of tigecycline and comparator agents against *Chryseobacterium* and *Pseudomonas* spp. isolates. Tigecycline was least active against these organism groups with  $\leq 44.4\%$  susceptibility; imipenem and the aminoglycosides had no activity against *Chryseobacterium*, but retained modest activity against the *Pseudomonas* spp.

- Only tigecycline (99.2% susceptible), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (97.7% susceptible) and levofloxacin (87.7% susceptible) demonstrated activity against *S. maltophilia* isolates with all other agents being  $< 54\%$  susceptible.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 337 consecutive, non-duplicate non-fermentative Gram-negative bacillus and 44 *Aeromonas* spp. isolates originating from clinical infections were collected from participant sites in North America, Europe, and Latin America as part of the 2003 tigecycline surveillance survey. Isolate identifications were confirmed by the central monitoring facility (JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA) using colony morphology on standard media, routine biochemical tests and Vitek (bioMérieux, Hazelwood, MO) identification when necessary.

All isolates were tested for susceptibility by National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS, 2003) methods using commercially prepared and validated, dry-form broth microdilution panels (TREK Diagnostics Inc., Cleveland, OH.) with cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth. Sixteen antimicrobial agents were tested including tigecycline, tetracycline, and representatives from other classes of antimicrobial agents used in the treatment of non-fermentative Gram-negative bacilli infections. MIC results were interpreted using NCCLS breakpoint criteria (M100-S14; 2004) where available. A tentative breakpoint for susceptibility to tigecycline of  $\leq 4 \mu\text{g/ml}$  (as applied to tetracycline) was used for comparative purposes.

## SELECTED REFERENCES

- Bergeron J, Ammirati M, Danley D, James L, Norcia M, Retsema J, Strick CA, Su W-G, Sulliff J, Wondrack L (1996) Glyicyclines bind to the high-affinity tetracycline ribosomal binding site and evade Tet(M)- and Tet(O)-mediated ribosomal protection. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 40:2226-2228.
- Fritsche TR, Kirby JT, Jones RN. (2004). In vitro activity of tigecycline (GAR-936) tested against 11,859 recent clinical isolates associated with community-acquired respiratory tract and Gram-positive cutaneous infections. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease* 49:201-209.
- Gales AC, Jones RN. (2000). Antimicrobial activity and spectrum of the new glyicycline, GAR-936, tested against 1,203 recent clinical bacterial isolates. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease* 36:19-36.
- Hoellman DB, Pankuch GA, Jacobs MR, Appelbaum PC. (2000). Antipneumococcal activities of GAR-936 (a new glyicycline) compared to those of the nine other agents against penicillin-susceptible and -resistant pneumococci. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 44:1085-1088.
- Levin AS, Levy CD, Manrique AE, Medeiros EA, Costa SF. (2003). Severe nosocomial infections with imipenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* treated with ampicillin/sulbactam. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* 21:58-62.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. (2003). *Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically. Approved standard M7-A6*. Wayne, PA:NCCLS.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. (2004). *Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 14<sup>th</sup> information supplement M100-S14*. Wayne, PA:NCCLS.
- Patel R, Rouse MS, Piper KE, Steckelberg JM. (2000). In vitro activity of GAR-936 against vancomycin-resistant enterococci, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and penicillin-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease* 38:177-179.
- Petersen PJ, Jacobs NV, Weiss WJ, Sum PE, Testa RT. (1999). In vitro and in vivo antibacterial activities of a new glyicycline, the 9-t-butylglycylamido derivative of minocycline (GAR-936). *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 43:738-744.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The study was supported by a grant from Wyeth Pharmaceuticals.

- The cumulative percentages of tigecycline susceptibility at each MIC result (range 0.03 – 32  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) indexed by organism group are displayed in Table 8. 95% of all isolates tested had MIC values of  $\leq 4 \mu\text{g/ml}$ ; those with higher MIC results were primarily pseudomonads and chryseobacteria.

**Table 1.** In vitro activity of tigecycline in comparison to selected antimicrobial agents tested against 155 isolates of *Acinetobacter* spp.<sup>a</sup>

Antimicrobial agent	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )			Category:	
	50%	90%	Range	% susceptible	% resistant
Tigecycline	0.5	2	0.06-8	98.7 <sup>b</sup>	<sup>a,b</sup>
Tetracycline	$\leq 2$	$> 8$	$\leq 2 \rightarrow 8$	61.3	27.1
Ampicillin/Sulbactam	4	$> 32$	$\leq 0.25 \rightarrow 32$	65.2	24.5
Piperacillin/Tazobactam	32	$> 64$	$\leq 0.12 \rightarrow 64$	49.7	43.9
Ceftazidime	8	$> 16$	$\leq 1 \rightarrow 16$	52.3	38.7
Cefepime	8	$> 16$	$\leq 0.12 \rightarrow 16$	57.4	29.0
Aztreonam	$> 16$	$> 16$	$\leq 0.12 \rightarrow 16$	9.0	74.2
Imipenem	$\leq 0.5$	2	$\leq 0.5 \rightarrow 8$	92.3	7.1
Amikacin	4	$> 32$	$\leq 0.25 \rightarrow 32$	72.3	23.9
Gentamicin	$\leq 2$	$> 8$	$\leq 2 \rightarrow 8$	58.1	38.7
Tobramycin	1	$> 16$	$\leq 0.12 \rightarrow 16$	67.7	26.5
Ciprofloxacin	0.5	$> 4$	$\leq 0.03 \rightarrow 4$	50.3	49.0
Levofloxacin	0.5	$> 4$	$\leq 0.03 \rightarrow 4$	57.4	38.1
Polymyxin B	$\leq 1$	$\leq 1$	$\leq 1 \rightarrow 8$	<sup>c</sup>	<sup>c</sup>

- a. Includes *A. anitratus* (nine strains), *A. calcoaceticus* (nine strains), *A. baumannii* (107 strains), *A. junii* (one strain), *A. lwoffii* (16 strains), *Acinetobacter* spp. (13 strains).  
b. No breakpoints have been established by NCCLS [2004], tentative breakpoint of  $\leq 4 \mu\text{g/ml}$  was applied for comparison purposes.  
c. No breakpoints have been established by NCCLS [2004].

**Table 2.** In vitro activity of tigecycline in comparison to selected antimicrobial agents tested against 44 isolates of *Aeromonas* spp.<sup>a</sup>

Antimicrobial agent	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )			Category:	
	50%	90%	Range	% susceptible	% resistant
Tigecycline	0.25	0.5	0.12-1	100.0 <sup>b</sup>	<sup>a,b</sup>
Tetracycline	$\leq 2$	$> 8$	$\leq 2 \rightarrow 8$	75.0	18.2
Piperacillin/Tazobactam	8	$> 64$	1- $> 64$	62.8	18.6
Ticarcillin/Clavulanate	64	$> 128$	$\leq 16 \rightarrow 128$	6.8	38.6
Ceftazidime	$\leq 1$	$\leq 1$	$\leq 1 \rightarrow 16$	97.7	2.3
Cefepime	$\leq 0.12$	0.25	$\leq 0.12 \rightarrow 4$	100.0	0.0
Aztreonam	$\leq 0.12$	0.25	$\leq 0.12 \rightarrow 2$	100.0	0.0
Imipenem	$\leq 0.5$	2	$\leq 0.5 \rightarrow 8$	93.2	2.3
Amikacin	2	8	1-16	100.0	0.0
Gentamicin	$\leq 2$	$\leq 2$	$\leq 2 \rightarrow 8$	97.7	2.3
Tobramycin	1	4	0.25- $> 16$	93.2	6.8
Ciprofloxacin	$\leq 0.03$	0.25	$\leq 0.03 \rightarrow 4$	97.7	2.3
Levofloxacin	$\leq 0.03$	0.25	$\leq 0.03 \rightarrow 4$	97.7	2.3
Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole	$\leq 0.5$	$> 2$	$\leq 0.5 \rightarrow 2$	88.6	11.4
Polymyxin B	$\leq 1$	2	$\leq 1 \rightarrow 8$	<sup>c</sup>	<sup>c</sup>

- a. Includes *A. caviae* (12 strains), *A. hydrophila* (25 strains), *A. sobria* (two strains) and *Aeromonas* spp. (five strains).  
b. No breakpoints have been established by NCCLS [2004], tentative breakpoint of  $\leq 4 \mu\text{g/ml}$  was applied for comparison purposes.  
c. No breakpoints have been established by NCCLS [2004].

**Table 3.** In vitro activity of tigecycline in comparison to selected antimicrobial agents tested against nine isolates of *Achromobacter xylosoxidans*.

Antimicrobial agent	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )			Category:	
	50%	90%	Range	% susceptible	% resistant
Tigecycline	0.5	-	0.06-2	100.0 <sup>a</sup>	<sup>a,b</sup>
Tetracycline	$> 8$	-	$\leq 2 \rightarrow 8$	33.3	66.7
Piperacillin/Tazobactam	1	-	0.5- $> 256$	88.9	11.1
Ticarcillin/Clavulanate	$\leq 16$	-	$\leq 16 \rightarrow 128$	88.9	11.1
Ceftazidime	4	-	2- $> 16$	88.9	11.1
Cefepime	$> 16$	-	$> 16$	11.1	55.6
Aztreonam	$> 16$	-	$> 16$	0.0	100.0
Imipenem	1	-	$\leq 0.5 \rightarrow 4$	100.0	0.0
Amikacin	$> 32$	-	4- $> 32$	44.4	55.6
Gentamicin	$> 8$	-	$\leq 2 \rightarrow 8$	33.3	55.6
Tobramycin	16	-	2- $> 16$	44.4	55.6
Ciprofloxacin	2	-	1- $> 4$	11.1	44.4
Levofloxacin	2	-	1- $> 4$	66.7	11.1
Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole	$\leq 0.5$	-	$\leq 0.5 \rightarrow 2$	77.8	22.2
Polymyxin B	2	-	$\leq 1 \rightarrow 8$	<sup>b</sup>	<sup>b</sup>

- a. No breakpoints have been established by NCCLS [2004], tentative breakpoint of  $\leq 4 \mu\text{g/ml}$  was applied for comparison purposes.  
b. No breakpoints have been established by NCCLS [2004].

**Table 4.** In vitro activity of tigecycline in comparison to selected antimicrobial agents tested against 20 isolates of *Burkholderia cepacia*.

Antimicrobial agent	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )			Category:	
	50%	90%	Range	% susceptible	% resistant
Tigecycline	1	8	0.03-16	85.0 <sup>a</sup>	<sup>a,b</sup>
Tetracycline	$> 8$	$> 8$	$\leq 2 \rightarrow 8$	5.3	94.7
Piperacillin/Tazobactam	4	256	0.5- $> 256$	80.0	15.0
Ticarcillin/Clavulanate	$> 128$	$> 128$	$\leq 16 \rightarrow 128$	10.0	75.0
Ceftazidime	4	4	$\leq 1 \rightarrow 16$	90.0	10.0
Cefepime	8	16	$\leq 0.12 \rightarrow 16$	85.0	10.0
Aztreonam	16	$> 16$	$\leq 0.12 \rightarrow 16$	40.0	20.0
Imipenem	4	8	$\leq 0.5 \rightarrow 8$	65.0	10.0
Amikacin	$> 32$	$> 32$	0.5- $> 32$	70.0	70.0
Gentamicin	$> 8$	$> 8$	$\leq 2 \rightarrow 8$	15.0	80.0
Tobramycin	$> 16$	$> 16$	$\leq 0.12 \rightarrow 16$	15.0	75.0
Ciprofloxacin	1	4	0.06- $> 4$	75.0	20.0
Levofloxacin	1	4	$\leq 0.03 \rightarrow 4$	80.0	10.0
Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole	$\leq 0.5$	2	$\leq 0.5 \rightarrow 2$	90.0	10.0
Polymyxin B	$> 8$	$> 8$	$\leq 1 \rightarrow 8$	<sup>b</sup>	<sup>b</sup>

- a. No breakpoints have been established by NCCLS [2004], tentative breakpoint of  $\leq 4 \mu\text{g/ml}$  was applied for comparison purposes.  
b. No breakpoints have been established by NCCLS [2004].

**Table 5.** In vitro activity of tigecycline in comparison to selected antimicrobial agents tested against five isolates of *Chryseobacterium* spp.<sup>a</sup>

Antimicrobial agent	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )			Category:	
	50%	90%	Range	% susceptible	% resistant
Tigecycline	8	-	4-8	40.0 <sup>b</sup>	<sup>a,b</sup>
Tetracycline	$> 8$	-	$> 8$	0.0	100.0
Piperacillin/Tazobactam	2	-	2-4	100.0	0.0
Ticarcillin/Clavulanate	$> 128$	-	64- $> 128$	0.0	60.0
Ceftazidime	$> 16$	-	4- $> 16$	40.0	60.0
Cefepime	8	-	0.5-16	80.0	0.0
Aztreonam	$> 16$	-	$> 16$	0.0	100.0
Imipenem	$> 8$	-	8- $> 8$	0.0	80.0
Amikacin	32	-	32- $> 32$	0.0	40.0
Gentamicin	$> 8$	-	$> 8$	0.0	100.0
Tobramycin	$> 16$	-	$> 16$	0.0	100.0
Ciprofloxacin	1	-	0.5- $> 4$	60.0	40.0
Levofloxacin	0.5	-	0.5- $> 4$	60.0	40.0
Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole	2	-	1-2	100.0	0.0
Polymyxin B	$> 8$	-	$> 8$	<sup>c</sup>	<sup>c</sup>

- a. Includes *C. indologenes* (two strains), *C. meningosepticum* (two strains) and *Chryseobacterium* spp. (one strain).  
b. No breakpoints have been established by NCCLS [2004], tentative breakpoint of  $\leq 4 \mu\text{g/ml}$  was applied for comparison purposes.  
c. No breakpoints have been established by NCCLS [2004].

**Table 6.** In vitro activity of tigecycline in comparison to selected antimicrobial agents tested against 18 isolates of *Pseudomonas* spp. (not PSA).<sup>a</sup>

Antimicrobial agent	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )			Category:	
	50%	90%	Range	% susceptible	% resistant
Tigecycline	8	16	0.12-32	44.4 <sup>b</sup>	<sup>a,b</sup>
Tetracycline	$> 8$	<			