A-037

ASM 2010 JMI Laboratories North Liberty, IA, USA www.jmilabs.com ph. 319.665.3370, fax 319.665.3371 ronald-jones@jmilabs.com

Abstract

Background: Fluoroquinolone (FQ) use for treatment of bacterial respiratory infections has increased, and rare outbreaks of fluoroquinolone-resistant (FQR) Haemophilus influenzae have been observed, particularly among older patients. This study determines the FQ activity against *H. influenzae* collected in North and Latin America, Europe and Asia-Pacific regions over 12 years of resistance surveillance.

Methods: 25,683 clinical isolates were collected from respiratory or blood cultures at 142 medical centers in 35 countries (SENTRY). Data on FQR isolates from 1997-2001 were published previously and data from more recent collections during 2002-2008 (12,621 strains) were compared. All strains were susceptibility tested against ciprofloxacin (CIP), levofloxacin (LEV) during all study years by CLSI broth microdilution methods. Etest was used to determine the potency of CIP, LEV, gemifloxacin (GEM), gatifloxacin (GAT) and moxifloxacin (MOX) against 7 previously unreported strains with CIP MIC values $\geq 0.12 \ \mu g/ml$. These isolates were examined for GyrA and ParC mutations in the quinolone resistance determining region (QRDR).

Results: During 1997-2001 and 2002-2008, the rate of *H. influenzae* with a CIP MIC ≥0.12 µg/ml was only 0.23 and 0.17%, respectively. True CIP non-susceptible (NS; MIC ≥2) was only 0.04% over all studied years. All 7 CIP NS isolates (MIC, 0.125 to >32 µg/ml) had GyrA (S81) mutations and 5 strains had additional ParC (S79 or G77) alteration. Overall, GEM and GAT were most potent, followed by LEV and MOX against these FQR isolates. 75% of the patients with documented CIP NS H. *influenzae* were adults (≥20 years).

Conclusions: The isolation of FQR-H. influenzae remain extremely rare in medical centers throughout the world. The selective pressure produced by increased use of FQ class agents appears to have had limited adverse effect on QRDR mutations for *H. influenzae* over the past 12 years of resistance surveillance.

Introduction

Community-acquired bacterial pneumonia (CABP) is a significant burden to the medical community and patients with suspected or documented disease are increasingly being treated with fluoroquinolones. One of the most common offending pathogens associated with CABP is *Haemophilus* influenzae. Fluoroquinolone resistance in this species has been documented in surveillance study data and in case reports beginning in the early 1990s. However, the data has been sporadic and it can be difficult to ascertain the true prevalence of resistance to fluoroquinolone agents amongst H. influenzae unless well structured surveillance data is available for analysis.

The SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program has been collecting susceptibility data on *H. influenzae* since 1997. This study reports on the fluoroquinolones included in the SENTRY Program during 2002-2008 to supplement previously published data (SENTRY 1997-2001). The previous study documented a very low incidence of resistance (0.15%) to this class of agents from medical centers in North America, Latin America and Europe. The SENTRY surveillance data from Asia and the Western Pacific documented a higher rate of fluoroquinolone-resistant H. *influenzae* (0.82%) during this same time period with an overall worldwide resistance rate of only 0.23%. We conclude that the rate of fluoroquinolone-resistant H. influenzae has not been escalating and that only sporadic events of this resistance phenotype occur, with the exception of some documented epidemiological outbreaks.

Bacterial isolates. A total of 12,621 isolates used in the analysis of this study had a MIC value for levofloxacin with slightly smaller numbers tested against ciprofloxacin and moxifloxacin. Twenty two *H. influenzae* strains (0.17%) had elevated ciprofloxacin MIC values ($\geq 0.12 \ \mu g/ml$; susceptible breakpoint at $\leq 1 \mu g/ml$). Among these non-wildtype strains, seven isolates with confirmed elevated fluoroquinolone MIC values (0.12 - $>32 \mu g/ml$) were available for further evaluation.

Isolates were identified by the local site and confirmed by the reference laboratory (JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA). Confirmation of suspected "fluoroquinolone-resistant" strain identifications was performed using patterns of growth around X and V factor disks (Remel, Lenexa, Kansas, USA) and the use of Vitek NHI identification cards (bioMerieux, Inc., Durham, North Carolina, USA).

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin and moxifloxacin were routinely tested by the broth microdilution method during all study years. Gemifloxacin and gatifloxacin were only tested during earlier years (2002-2006). Limited surveillance of *H. influenzae* was performed during 2005 (bloodstream isolates only). The isolates were tested for susceptibility by reference broth microdilution methods using the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) recommendations (M07-A8, 2009). Haemophilus Test Media in validated panels manufactured by TREK Diagnostics (Cleveland, Ohio, USA) were utilized.

Quality assurance of test results was performed using quality control strain *H. influenzae* ATCC 49247 (CLSI M100-S20, 2010) and the inoculum density was confirmed using regular colony counts during each testing event.

Confirmation of fluoroquinolone MIC values and resistance mechanisms. Seven isolates available for further evaluation had the elevated fluoroquinolone MIC values (0.12 - >32 μ g/ml) confirmed by the Etest method (bioMerieux, Inc., Durham, North Carolina, USA). These strains were susceptibility tested against ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, gatifloxacin and gemifloxacin. These isolates were also screened for the presence of mutations in GyrA and ParC using PCR and sequencing.

Fluoroquinolone-Resistant Haemophilus influenzae Continue to be Infrequently Isolated, Within Worldwide Surveillance Networks (1997-2008)

DJ BIEDENBACH, RN JONES, HS SADER, M CASTANHEIRA, RE MENDES JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, IA, USA

Methods

Results

- The twenty-two *H. influenzae* isolates with elevated fluoroquinolone MIC values were isolated from patients in several countries including Canada, Japan, Hong Kong, Australia, France, Korea, Germany, Taiwan, Sweden, USA and the People's Republic of China.
- Overall, ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin inhibited \geq 99.2% of *H*. *influenzae* isolates at ≤0.03 µg/ml during several study years (Tables 1 and 2). Moxifloxacin was slightly less active inhibiting 96.5 – 98.6% of the isolates at $\leq 0.03 \ \mu g/ml$ (Table 3).
- During the years that gatifloxacin and gemifloxacin were routinely tested, these fluoroquinolones inhibited >99.9% of the *H. influenzae* isolates at $\leq 0.5 \mu g/ml$ (data not shown).
- Among the isolates with elevated fluoroquinolone MIC values, gatifloxacin was the most active agent overall, followed by gemifloxacin and moxifloxacin and levofloxacin (Table 4).
- All isolates with elevated fluoroquinolone MIC values had Ser81 alterations in GyrA. In addition, all strains with a ciprofloxacin MIC $\geq 1 \mu g/ml$ exhibited concurrent mutations in ParC (Table 4).
- Three strains from a single medical site (113) in Germany were likely clonally related based upon the similarity of the antibiogram, identical mutations in the quinolone resistance determining region (QRDR) region and PFGE patterns (Table 4).

Table 1.Activitycollected during	/ of cipro 2002-20	floxacin 108 from	tested ag the SEN	gainst 12 TRY Anti	,605 isola microbia	ates of <i>I</i> I Surveil					
		Cum. % inhibited at MIC (µg/ml):									
Year (no. tested)	≤0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1					
2002 (3,199)	99.3	99.8	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.9					
2003 (2,931)	_a	_a	99.9	99.9	>99.9	100.0					
2004 (2,842)	99.4	99.8	99.9	>99.9	100.0						
2005 (142)	99.3	100.0									
2006 (1,202)	99.6	99.9	100.0								
2007 (1,233)	99.6	99.9	100.0								
2008 (1,056)	99.2	99.6	99.6	99.6	99.6	99.7					
a. Concentrations no	t tested.										



			Cum.	% inhibited	d at MIC (µ	ug/ml):		
Year (no. tested)	≤0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	≥4
2002 (3,212)	99.4	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.9	100.0
2003 (2,934)	99.3	99.8	99.9	>99.9	100.0			
2004 (2,842)	99.2	99.8	99.9	>99.9	100.0			
2005 (142)	_a	_	_	_	100.0			
2006 (1,202)	_	_	_	_	100.0			
2007 (1,233)	_	_	_	_	100.0			
2008 (1,056)	_	_	_	_	99.7	99.7	99.7	100.0
a. Concentrations no	ot tested.							

 Table 2.
 Activity of levofloxacin tested against 12,621 isolates of *H. influenzae*

ollected during 2002-2008 from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program

 Table 3. Activity of moxifloxacin tested against 12,096 isolates of *H. influenzae* ollected during 2002-2008 from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program

	Cum. % inhibited at MIC (µg/ml):									
Year (no. tested)	≤0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	≥4		
2002 (2,692)	98.0	99.7	99.9	>99.9	>99.9	>99.9	>99.9	100.0		
2003 (2,929)	96.5	99.5	99.9	>99.9	100.0					
2004 (2,842)	96.7	99.4	99.8	100.0						
2005 (142)	98.6	100.0								
2006 (1,202)	_a	_	_	_	100.0					
2007 (1,233)	_	_	_	_	100.0					
2008 (1,056)	_	_	_	_	99.6	99.7	99.7	100.0		

a. Concentrations not tested

Table 4. Fluoroquinolone MIC values and analysis of the QRDR region of seven H. ofluenzae isolates with elevated ciprofloxacin MIC results.

		Etest	t MIC (µ	g/ml)	Mutat	ion(s)	
Year	Cipro	Levo	Moxi	Gati	Gemi	GyrA	ParC
2002	>32	>32	>32	>32	8	S81R; D85N	S79R; E83K
2004	0.5	0.38	0.25	0.19	0.19	S81F	WT ^a
2007	0.125	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	S81L	WT
2008	1	0.75	1	0.5	1	S81L; E139K	S79I
2008	>32	8	8	2	6	S81F; D85A	G77D
2008	>32	8	8	2	8	S81F; D85A	G77D
2008	>32	8	16	2	6	S81F; D85A	G77D
	Year 2002 2004 2007 2008 2008 2008	Year Cipro 2002 >32 2004 0.5 2007 0.125 2008 1 2008 >32 2008 >32 2008 >32	Year Cipro Levo 2002 >32 >32 2004 0.5 0.38 2007 0.125 0.19 2008 1 0.75 2008 >32 8 2008 >32 8 2008 >32 8 2008 >32 8	YearCiproLevoMoxi2002>32>32>3220040.50.380.2520070.1250.190.19200810.7512008>32882008>32882008>32816	YearCiproLevoMoxiGati2002>32>32>32>3220040.50.380.250.1920070.1250.190.190.19200810.7510.52008>328822008>328162	YearCiproLevoMoxiGatiGemi2002>32>32>32>32820040.50.380.250.190.1920070.1250.190.190.190.19200810.7510.512008>3288262008>3281626	Year Cipro Levo Moxi Gati Gemi GyrA 2002 >32 >32 >32 >32 8 S81R; D85N 2004 0.5 0.38 0.25 0.19 0.19 S81F 2007 0.125 0.19 0.19 0.19 S81L 2008 1 0.75 1 0.5 1 S81F; D85A 2008 >32 8 8 2 6 S81F; D85A 2008 >32 8 8 2 8 S81F; D85A 2008 >32 8 16 2 6 S81F; D85A

- 2009.

- 2008.



Conclusions

 There have been reports of colonization or emergence of H. influenzae with elevated fluoroquinolone MIC values, and these have usually been among elderly patients, particularly associated with long-term care facilities.

This study documents the continuing low occurrence of fluoroquinolone-resistant *H. influenzae* in the general population of hospitalized patients, sampled on a global scale by the SENTRY Program.

Fluoroquinolone-resistant strains of *H. influenzae* remain rarely isolated, and it appears that large surveillance programs may be needed to detect the initial evolution of this unusually encountered phenotype.

References

Biedenbach DJ and Jones RN. Fluoroquinolone-resistant Haemophilus *influenzae*: Frequency of occurrence and analysis of confirmed strains in the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (North and Latin America). Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 36: 255-259, 2000.

2. Biedenbach DJ and Jones RN. Five-year analysis of *Haemophilus* influenzae isolates with reduced susceptibility to fluoroquinolones: Prevalence results from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 46: 55-61, 2003.

. Chang CM, Lauderdale TL, Lee HC, Lee NY, Wu CJ, Chen PL, Lee CC, Chen PC and Ko WC. Colonisation of fluoroquinolone-resistant Haemophilus influenzae among nursing home residents in southern Taiwan. J. Hosp. Infect.: In press, 2010.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. M07-A8 – Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; Approved Standard: Eighth edition. Wayne, PA, USA,

. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. *M100-S20 – Performance* standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: 20th Informational Supplement. Wayne, PA, USA, 2010.

6. Li X, Mariano N, Rahal JJ, Urban CM and Drlica K. Quinoloneresistant *Haemophilus influenzae* in a long-term-care facility:

Nucleotide sequence characterization of alterations in the genes encoding DNA gyrase and DNA topoisomerase IV. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 48: 3570-3572, 2004.

. Yokota S, Ohkoshi Y, Sato K and Fujii N. Emergence of fluoroquinolone-resistant Haemophilus influenzae strains among elderly patients but not among children. J. Clin. Microbiol. 46: 361-365,