Oxazolidinone-Resistant Enterococci Emerging in 2001: Patient Reports from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (USA)

A. Mutnick ^{1,2} R.N. Jones^{1,3}, P. Rhomberg¹, G. Moet¹;

AMENDED ABSTRACT

Background: The oxazolidinone (OXA) class has demonstrated remarkable activity against Gram-positive cocci (GPC), and the initial clinical candidate, linezolid (LZD) has proven to be a welcome therapeutic option. LZD clinical trial results and subsequent published case reports cite rare LZD-resistance (R) emerging in patients receiving prolonged therapy. Since the abstract submission, we have identified a total of 7 GPC isolates demonstrating linezolid resistance. We report the initial OXA-R cases obtained through the SENTRY Program after screening >40,000 GPC without R in 1998-2000.

Methods: In 2001, 7 patients from 5 different states and 6 different institutions in the USA (WA, 3-NY, KY, TX, IA) were identified among positive bloodstream infection isolates of E. faecalis (EF, 2), E. faecium (EFM, 3), S. epidermidis (SEPI, 1), and S. oralis (SOR, 1). Patient ages were 11 months. (female, EF), 32 years (male, EFM), 36 years (female, EFM), 64 years (male, EF), 76 years (male, SEPI), 72 years (male, EFM), and 27 years (female, SOR). R was detected by NCCLS broth microdilution methods, confirmed by identical results with Etest and disk diffusion (DD) tests plus confirmed by detection of a G2576U ribosomal mutation in all isolates and in 1 isolate (SEPI) another C2534U mutation.

Results: The table lists the MICs for 6 agents along with a novel OXA (AZD2563 [AZD], AstraZeneca). MIC and DD tests showed elevated MICs (8 - > 8 μ g/ml) for all 7 strains to LZD and AZD, as well as small zones of inhibition (12-16 mm; R at \leq 20 mm) eg. co-R. Vancomycin-R was found in 2 strains. All EF/EFM, and SOR strains showed R to erythromycin ($\geq 1 \mu g/ml$), respectively. EFM strains were R to penicillins ($\geq 32 \mu g/ml$), but S to quinupristin/dalfopristin (Q/D). See chloramphenicol (CHLOR) and doxycycline (DOXY) results below:

	EFM	EF	EF	EFM	SEPI	EFM	SOR
	11-4103	21-6943	15-534	15-4011	82-1645	24-1575	27-2832
ZD MIC/Zone	>8/14	8/14	>8/13	8/16	8/13	8/15	8/19
ZD MIC/Zone	>8/13	>8/12	>8/12	8/16	8/12	8/16	8/15
ancomycin MIC	>16	1	2	8	2	1	0.5
eicoplanin MIC	>16	0.25	0.25	0.25	4	1	0.12
/D MIC	0.5	8	8	1	0.12	1	0.5
HLOR MIC	16	8	>16	16	16	>16	8
OXY MIC	0.5	>4	>4	1	0.5	>4	>4

Conclusions: Occurrence of OXA-R remains rare, but no longer is limited to enterococci. Clinical laboratories should routinely test LZD to monitor for emerging OXA-R (MIC, $\ge 8 \mu g/ml$). Longitudinal surveillance programs continue to be warranted in order to monitor development of OXA-R, determine the mechanism and recommend alternative therapies or epidemiological interventions.

INTRODUCTION

Therapeutic problems created by vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) were first recognized in the 1980's. In a report from the Centers for Disease Control covering the period of 1989 to 1993, the incidence of nosocomial infections due to VRE increased from 0.3% to 7.9%, most apparent in the intensive care unit. The release of linezolid, though a welcome addition as an effective therapy for VRE (specifically Enterococcus faecium), also created a growing need for careful, prospective surveillance of this class in order to limit further expansion in resistance patterns.

Resistance to linezolid had been reported only rarely during treatment of complicated VRE infections. However, reports from the linezolid clinical trials, and subsequent published case reports of enterococci and staphylococcus *aureus* cite emerging resistance patterns in patients who have received prolonged courses of oxazolidinone therapy. A recent report describes the isolation of an *E. faecium* strain from a blood stream infection that was resistant to linezolid, but unassociated with OXA treatment.

The SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program was initiated in 1997, with the primary purpose of monitoring antimicrobial resistance trends of both nosocomial and community-acquired pathogens over large geographic areas, and currently includes, North America (NA), Latin America (LA), Europe (EU), and the Asia-Pacific (APAC) regions. In this report we present the initial OXA-R cases obtained through the SENTRY Program after screening more than 40,000 GPC without OXA-R during 1998-2000.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolates in this study were recent clinical strains obtained from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (1997-2001) which were from blood stream infections. A total of 46,449 Gram-positive isolates were evaluated and included; S.aureus (22,920 strains), coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS, 8,401 strains) Enterococcus spp. (6,693 strains), Streptococcus pneumoniae (2,899 strains), beta-haemolytic streptococcus (3,799 strains) and viridans-group streptococcus (1,321 strains).

Strains were tested against a panel of eight or ten Gram-positive focused agents (among 50 total antimicrobials). These included; linezolid, AZD2563, quinupristin/dalfopristin (Q/D), vancomycin, teicoplanin, oxacillin, ampicillin, penicillin, erythromycin (staphylococci and streptococci), rifampin, doxycycline, clindamycin (staphylococci), ciprofloxacin, gatifloxacin (staphylococci and streptococci), and high-level gentamicin and streptomycin (enterococcus only).

All strains were tested by reference broth microdilution methods recommended by the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) using dry-form panels supplied by TREK Diagnostics (Westlake, OH). Organisms from pure culture plates were suspended into a Mueller-Hinton broth media to equal a 0.5 McFarland standard and further diluted and inoculated into the antimicrobial containing wells to equal approximately 5x10⁵ CFU/ml. Panels were incubated in an ambient environment for 24 hours, depending on the species tested. Concomitant processing of ATCC quality control strains including S.aureus ATCC 29213, Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 29212 and S.pneumoniae ATCC 49619.

¹The JONES Group/JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA [www.jmilabs.com]; ²University of Iowa, College of Pharmacy, Iowa City, Iowa, and ³Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

		RESULTS	
,	Seven bacterial isolates originating from 5 different states across the United States demonstrated oxazolidinone resistance; five isolates were enterococci (2 <i>E. faecalis</i> , 3 <i>E. faecium</i>), one <i>S. epidermi</i> and one <i>S. oralis</i> (Table 1).	• \ dis, v	/ancomycin (MIC; (/ere active against
•	Vancomycin-resistance was present in one of the <i>E. faecium</i> isolates and quinupristin/dalfopristin resistance was intrinsically present in the two <i>E. faecalis</i> isolates. Both drugs demonstrated suscept MIC results to the remaining isolates (Table 2).	• T n ible • T	The common riboso nutation was noted The oxazolidinone r
,	Penicillin demonstrated susceptible MICs against both <i>E. faecalis</i> isolates, and <i>S. oralis</i> , while amplo demonstrated activity against both <i>E. faecalis</i> strains, and intermediate susceptibilities against <i>S. ora</i>	illin • F alis. c	or the seven cases ases were not dire
	Alternative entimierchiele having augeentible MIC values against the Stanidarmidic isolate include	a du	

 Alternative antimicrobials having susceptible MIC values against the S. epidermidis isolate included; vancomycin (MIC; 2 µg/ml), teicoplanin (4), quinupristin/dalfopristin (0.12), erythromycin (0.5), doxycycline (0.5), and rifampin (0.25).

Table 1. Demographic comparisons among sever	patients with linezolid (LZD)	resistant isolates					
				Patient/Organism		*	*
	11-4103	15-4011	24-1575	21-6943	15-534	82-1645	27-2832
	E. faecium	E. faecium	E. faecium	E. faecalis	E. faecalis	S. epidermidis	S. oralis
Location (State)	lowa	New York	Texas	Wash	New York	New York	Kentucky
Patient demographics							
Age (yrs)	36	32	72	64	11 mos.	76	56
Sex	Female	Male	Male	Male	Female	Male	Female
Prior LZD	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Mutation(s) identified	G2576U	G2576U	G2576U	G2576U	G2576U	C2534U, G2576U	G2576U

Table 2. Antibiogram for seven patients with linez	colid resistant isolates						
			MIC (µg/ml)			*	*
	11-4103	15-4011	24-1575	21-6943	15-534	82-1645	27-2832
Antimicrobial Agent	E. faecium	E. faecium	E. faecium	E. faecalis	E. faecalis	S. epidermidis	S. oralis
Linezolid	>8	8	8	8	>8	8	8
AZD2563	>8	8	8	>8	>8	8	>8
Vancomycin	>16	8	1	2	2	2	0.5
Teicoplanin	>16	0.25	1	0.25	0.12	4	0.12
Quinupristin/dalfopristin	0.5	1	1	4	8	0.12	0.5
Erythromycin	>8	>8	>8	>8	>8	0.5	2
Clindamycin	>8	>8	>8	>8	>8	1	0.06
Chloramphenicol	16	16	>16	16	>16	16	8
Oxacillin	>8	>8	>8	>8	>8	>8	0.06
Penicillin	>32	>32	>32	8	2	8	0.015
Ampicillin	>16	>16	>16	2	<=2	8	2
Doxycycline	0.5	4	>4	>4	>4	0.5	>4
Ciprofloxacin	>2	>2	>4	>2	0.5	>4	>4
Rifampin	>2	>2	>2	>2	1	0.25	0.25
Gentamicin(HL)	>1000	>1000	>1000	>1000	≤500	NA	NA
Streptomycin (HL)	>2000	>2000	>2000	>2000	>2000	NA	NA

NA= Not Applicable

*

0.5 µg/ml), quinupristin/dalfopristin (0.5), clindamycin (0.06), and penicillin (0.015) t the S. oralis.

mal mutation, G2576U, was observed in all strains and one additional documented d in the *S. epidermidi*s.

resistance in S. epidermidis and S. oralis were unique or the first reported cases.

s described, three were associated with the previous use of linezolid while four ectly associated with linezolid use and warrants further evaluation.

- (key mutation at G2576U).
- identify the agent of best choice.
- alternatives.
- Program).

SELECTED REFERENCES

Bain KT, Wittbrodt ET. Linezolid for the treatment of resistant gram-positive cocci. Ann Pharmacother. 2001;35:566-575.

Bax R, Bywater R, Cornaglia G, Goossens H, Hunter P, Isham V, Jarlier V, Jones R, Phillips I, Sahm D, Senn S, Struelens M, Taylor D, White A. Surveillance of antimicrobial resistance: What, how and whither? *Clin* Microbiol Infect. 2001;7:316-25.

Gonzales RD, Schreckenberger PC, Graham MB, Kelkar W, DenBesten K, Quinn JP. Infections due to vancomycinresistant Enterococcus faecium resistant to linezolid. Lancet. 2001; 357:1179.

Jones RN. Della-Latta P. Lee LV. Biedenbach DJ. Linezolid resistant *Enterococcus faecium* isolated from a patient without prior exposure to an oxazolidinone: Report from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2002; 42:137-9.

Mutnick AH. Biedenbach DJ. Turnidge JD, Jones RN. Spectrum and Potency Evaluation of a New Oxazolidinone, Linezolid: Report from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program, 1998-2000. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2002;43:65-73.

National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. (2000b). Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically. Document M7-A5. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, PA:NCCLS.

National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (2002) Performance standard for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Document M100-S12. Wayne, PA:NCCLS.

Tsiodras A, Gold HS, Sakoulas G, Eliopoulos GM, Wennersten C, Venkataraman L, Moellering Jr RC, Ferraro MJ. Linezolid resistance in a clinical isolate of Staphylococcus aureus. Lancet. 2001;358:207-208.



CONCLUSIONS

Oxazolidinone resistance has now extended beyond *enterococcus* spp., and has been reported within coagulase- negative staphylococci and viridans group streptococci

Oxazolidinone resistance should not preclude the use of older antimicrobials, and requires a careful examination of susceptibility patterns on a case-by-case basis in order to

Routine monitoring for linezolid resistance needs to occur within the microbiology laboratory, along with timely reporting to practitioners to adequately select treatment

Longitudinal surveillance programs facilitate the discovery of resistance, and allow for the characterization of resistance with advanced epidemiological procedures (SENTRY