## **C2-1258**

# **Oritavancin Activity against Vancomycin-susceptible and Genetically Characterized** Vancomycin-resistant Enterococci from Bacteremic Patients (2009 – 2010)

## Abstract

Background: Oritavancin is a lipoglycopeptide with activity against both vancomycin-susceptible (VSE) and -resistant enterococci (VRE). Potency of oritavancin and comparators was evaluated against contemporary enterococci causing bloodstream infections (BSI).

Methods: 2,260 enterococci (mostly E. faecalis [1,312] and E. faecium [869]) were collected from 29 sites in the USA and 27 sites in 13 European countries, including Turkey and Israel. Identification was performed by Vitek 2. Susceptibility was tested by CLSI methods. Isolates with vancomycin MIC results at  $\geq 8$ μg/mL were screened for *vanA/B1-3* in a multiplex PCR assay. *E.* casseliflavus and E. gallinarum identification was PCR-confirmed by the presence of *vanC1-3*.

**Results:** 37 (2.8%) *E. faecalis* isolates were VRE, among which 27 carried vanA and 10 vanB. 486 (55.9%) E. faecium strains were VRE of which 470 (96.7%) harbored vanA. Two USA vanA *E. faecium* exhibited teicoplanin MICs of  $\leq 1$  and 4  $\mu$ g/mL Oritavancin (MIC<sub>50</sub>, 0.015  $\mu$ g/mL) was equally active against VSE and vanB E. faecalis strains. vanA E. faecalis (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.25/0.5 µg/mL) had oritavancin MICs 16-fold higher than VSE strains (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.015/0.03 μg/mL). Ampicillin (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, ≤1/2 μg/mL; 96% susceptible), daptomycin (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 1/2 μg/mL; 100% susceptible) and linezolid (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>,  $1/1 \mu g/mL$ ; 100% susceptible) showed coverage against vanA E. faecalis. Similar oritavancin MIC<sub>50/90</sub> values (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, ≤0.008/≤0.008 μg/mL) were noted against VSE and vanB E. faecium, while oritavancin was less active (≥4-fold) against vanA E. faecium. Daptomycin (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>,  $2/2 \mu g/mL$ ; 100% susceptible) and linezolid (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 1/2  $\mu g/mL$ ; 98% susceptible) were active against *E. faecium* carrying *vanA* genes. *vanC*-harboring strains were very susceptible to oritavancin, ampicillin (97% susceptible), daptomycin (100% susceptible) and linezolid (100% susceptible).

**Conclusions**: This appears to be the first report of VanB phenotype-vanA genotype strains in the USA. Oritavancin demonstrated activity greater than comparators against VRE causing BSI. Oritavancin was less active against vanA strains, but inhibited all VRE at ≤0.5 µg/mL.

#### Introduction

Enterococcal isolates currently represent the third most frequent pathogens responsible for healthcare-associated infections in the USA. *Enterococcus faecium* strains, which are often resistant to commonly prescribed antimicrobial agents such as ampicillin, aminoglycosides and glycopeptides, are of great concern. In addition, growing evidences have demonstrated that enterococcal species possess specific traits that enable them to cause a broad range of infections.

## Introduction-continued

Enterococcus faecalis and E. faecium may acquire glycopeptide resistance determinants via *van-associated* genes. The ability to acquire, retain and express genetic elements further enhances the propensity of enterococci to sustain selective pressure, promoting bacterial colonization, and eventually progressing to an infectious episode. This study describes the activity of oritavancin and comparators tested against enterococcal clinical isolates, including molecularly characterized vancomycinresistant strains (VRE), causing bloodstream infections (BSI) in USA and European hospitals (2009-2010).

#### **Methods**

**Bacterial strain collection**. A total of 2,260 enterococci (1,312 E. faecalis; 869 E. faecium, 24 E. gallinarum and 15 E. casseliflavus) were collected from 29 medical institutions in the USA and 27 centers in 13 European countries, including Turkey and Israel. Isolates were submitted to a central monitoring laboratory (JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, IA, USA) following established protocols as part of the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program. Bacterial species identification was performed by using an automated system (Vitek<sup>®</sup>2; bioMérieux, Hazelwood, Missouri, USA) or conventional biochemical algorithms, as required.

Antimicrobial susceptibility test methods. Isolates were tested for susceptibility by broth microdilution following the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI; M07-A8, 2009) recommendations. Susceptibility testing was performed using dry-form panels (TREK Diagnostic Systems, Cleveland, Ohio, USA), which provide results equivalent to the CLSIapproved broth microdilution method supplemented with 0.002% polysorbate-80. Quality assurance was performed by concurrent testing of CLSI-recommended (M100-S21, 2011) strains: E. faecalis ATCC 29212 and Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213. Interpretation of comparator MIC results was in accordance with published CLSI and European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) criteria.

Screening for *van*-associated elements. All enterococcal isolates exhibiting vancomycin MIC results at  $\geq 8 \mu g/mL$  were selected for further molecular screening. These strains were screened for vanA and vanB1-3 in a multiplex PCR format. In addition, the identification of *E. gallinarum* and *E. casseliflavus* was PCR-confirmed by the presence of *vanC1* or *vanC2-3*, respectively.

## **R.E. MENDES**, L.N. WOOSLEY, D.J. FARRELL, H.S. SADER, R.N. JONES JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, IA

#### **Results-1**

- The majority of enterococcal strains recovered from blood were *E. faecalis* (1,312/2,260; 58.1%), followed by *E. faecium* (869/2,260; 38.5%). *E. faecium* represented the vast majority (486/523; 93.0%) of VRE strains (**Table 1**).
- vanA accounted for 73.0% (27/37) and 96.7% (470/486) of the van genes among E. faecalis and E. faecium, respectively. All vanA-strains showed a VanA phenotype (i.e. vancomycin and teicoplanin MIC, >16 and >8  $\mu$ g/mL, respectively), except for two USA *E. faecium* that exhibited teicoplanin MIC values of  $\leq 1$  and 4 μg/mL **(Table 2**).
- Oritavancin inhibited all tested enterococci at  $\leq 0.5 \,\mu$ g/mL with potent MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub> results against vancomycin-susceptible *E. faecalis* (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.015/0.03 μg/mL) and *E. faecium* (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, ≤0.008/≤0.008 μg/mL; **Table 1**).
- Equivalent activity (MIC<sub>50</sub> results) was observed for oritavancin when tested against *vanB*-type enterococcal strains and their respective vancomycin-susceptible counterparts (Table 1).
- vanA-type E. faecalis exhibited oritavancin MIC values (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.25/0.5 µg/mL) 16-fold higher than vancomycin-susceptible isolates (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.015/0.03 μg/mL). *vanA*-type *E. faecium* (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.03/0.06  $\mu$ g/mL) showed higher (≥four-fold) oritavancin MIC results compared to vancomycin-susceptible and *vanB*-type strains (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, ≤0.008/≤0.008 μg/mL; **Table 1**).
- Ampicillin (MIC<sub>90</sub>, 2  $\mu$ g/mL; ≥96.3% susceptible), daptomycin (MIC<sub>90</sub>,  $1 - 2 \mu g/mL$ ; 100% susceptible) and linezolid (MIC<sub>90</sub>, 1 μg/mL; 100% susceptible) were active against vancomycinresistant *E. faecalis* (**Table 2**). Oritavancin demonstrated MIC<sub>90</sub> results 2- to 4- and 64- to 128-fold lower than these comparators tested against *vanA*- and *vanB*-type *E*. faecalis, respectively.
- When tested against vancomycin-resistant *E. faecium*, daptomycin (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 2/2  $\mu$ g/mL; 100% susceptible) and linezolid (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 1/2  $\mu$ g/mL; ≥98.1% susceptible) demonstrated antimicrobial activity (Table 2).
- Quinupristin/dalfopristin (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>,  $\leq$ 0.5/1 µg/m; 96.6% susceptible) demonstrated activity against vanA-E. faecium (Table 2), while marginal coverage was noted against vancomycin-susceptible and -resistant (vanB) strains (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, ≤0.5/>2 µg/m; 72.1 – 87.5% susceptible).
- E. casseliflavus and E. gallinarum showed variable vancomycin MIC results (0.25 – 8  $\mu$ g/mL; MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 4/8  $\mu$ g/mL) and 17.9% of strains were vancomycin intermediate or resistant based on CLSI or EUCAST criteria, respectively. Nevertheless, these strains were very susceptible to oritavancin (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, ≤0.008/0.015 µg/mL; **Tables 1 and 2**).
- vanC-carrying enterococci (*E. casseliflavus* or *E. gallinarum*) were very susceptible ( $\geq$ 97.4%) to ampicillin (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>,  $\leq$ 1/2  $\mu$ g/mL), teicoplanin (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>,  $\leq$ 2/ $\leq$ 2  $\mu$ g/mL), daptomycin  $(MIC_{50/90}, 1/2 \mu g/mL)$  and linezolid  $(MIC_{50/90}, 1/2 \mu g/mL)$ ; **Table 2**).

Organism

- Resistance pattern (no. tested
- faecalis (1,312) Vancomycin-susceptible (1.27 vanA-genotype (27)
- vanB-genotype (10)
- *E. faecium* (869) Vancomycin-susceptible (383) vanA-genotype (470) vanB-genotype (16)
- E. casseliflavus (15) and E. gallin
- vanC-genotype (39) Modal MIC values are in bold.

#### Table 2. Antimicrobial activity of oritavancin and comparator agents tested against vancomycin-susceptible and genetically characterized vancomycin-resistant enterococcal clinical isolates causing bloodstream infections in USA and European hospitals.

Organism (no. tested)		MIC (µg/mL)		% Susceptible/Resistant a		Organism (no.tested)		MIC (µg/mL)		% Susceptible/Resistant		
Antimicrobial agent	Range	50%	90%	CLSI	EUCAST	Antimicrobial agent	Range	50%	90%	CLSI	EUCAST	
Vancomycin-susceptible E	. faecalis (1,275)					vanA-E. faecium (470)						
Oritavancin	≤0.008 – 0.5	0.015	0.03	_b / <b>-</b>	_ / <b>-</b>	Oritavancin ≤0.008 – 0.2		0.03	0.06	_ / <b>-</b>	_ / <b>-</b>	
Ampicillin	≤1 – 8	≤1	2	100.0 / 0.0	99.8 / 0.0	Ampicillin >8		>8	>8	0.0 / 100.0	0.0 / 100.0	
Vancomycin	0.25 – 4	1	2	100.0/0.0	100.0 / 0.0	Vancomycin	>16	>16	>16	0.0 / 99.6	0.0 / 100.0	
Teicoplanin	≤2 – 4	≤2	≤2	100.0/0.0	99.9/0.1	Teicoplanin	≤1 – >8	>8	>8	0.6 / 96.2	0.2 / 99.8	
Daptomycin	0.12 – 4	1	2	100.0/-	_ / <b>-</b>	Daptomycin	0.12 – 4	2	2	100.0/-	_ / <b>-</b>	
Linezolid	0.25 ->8	1	2	99.9 / 0.1	99.9 / 0.1	Linezolid	0.5 – >8	1	2	98.1 / 1.3	98.7 / 1.3	
Quinupristin/dalfopristin	≤0.5 – >2	>2	>2	0.5 / 95.0	0.5 / 89.0	Quinupristin/dalfopristin	≤0.5 – >2	≤0.5	1	96.6 / 1.3	96.6 / 1.3	
Levofloxacin	≤0.5 – >4	1	>4	69.0/30.4	— / <b>-</b>	Levofloxacin	2->4	>4	>4	0.2 / 99.8	— / <b>-</b>	
Tetracycline	≤2 – >8	>8	>8	23.2 / 76.5	— / <b>-</b>	Tetracycline $\leq 2 - > 8$		>8	>8	36.8 / 62.3	— / <b>-</b>	
vanA-E. faecalis (27)						vanB-E. faecium (16)						
Oritavancin	0.015 – 0.5	0.25	0.5	_ / <b>-</b>	_ / <b>-</b>	Oritavancin	≤0.008	≤0.008	≤0.008	_ / <b>-</b>	_ / <b>-</b>	
Ampicillin	≤1 – >16	≤1	2	96.3/3.7	96.3/3.7	Ampicillin	>8	>8	>8	0.0 / 100.0	0.0 / 100.0	
Vancomvcin	>16	>16	>16	0.0 / 100.0	0.0 / 100.0	Vancomvcin	n 8–>16		>16	0.0 / 75.0	0.0 / 100.0	
Teicoplanin	>8	>8	>8	3.7 / 96.3	0.0 / 100.0	Teicoplanin	Teicoplanin ≤2		≤2	100.0 / 0.0	100.0/0.0	
Daptomycin	0.5 – 2	1	2	100.0/-	_ / <b>-</b>	Daptomvcin 0.5 – 4		2	2	100.0/-	_ / <b>-</b>	
Linezolid	1 – 2	1	1	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0	Linezolid $0.5-4$		1	2	93.8 / 0.0	100.0/0.0	
Quinupristin/dalfopristin	2 -> 2	>2	>2	0.0 / 96.3	0.0 / 96.3	Quinupristin/dalfopristin ≤0.5 – >2		≤0.5	>2	87.5 / 12.5	87.5 / 12.5	
Levofloxacin	2->4	>4	>4	3.7 / 96.3	_ / <b>-</b>	Levofloxacin	>4	>4	>4	0.0 / 100.0	_ / <b>-</b>	
Tetracycline	≤2 – >8	>8	>8	3.7 / 96.3	_ / <b>-</b>	Tetracycline	≤2 – >8	>8	>8	37.5 / 62.5	_ / <b>-</b>	
vanB-E. faecalis (10)						vanC (39)°						
Oritavancin	≤0.008 – 0.06	0.015	0.015	— / <b>-</b>	— / <b>-</b>	Oritavancin	≤0.008 – 0.015	≤0.008	0.015	— / <b>-</b>	— / <b>-</b>	
Ampicillin	≤1 – 2	≤1	2	100.0/0.0	100.0 / 0.0	Ampicillin	≤1 – >16	≤1	2	97.4/2.6	97.4 / 2.6	
Vancomycin	8->16	>16	>16	0.0 / 80.0	0.0 / 100.0	Vancomycin	0.25 – 8	4	8	82.1 / 0.0	82.1 / 17.9	
Teicoplanin	≤2	≤2	≤2	100.0/0.0	100.0 / 0.0	Teicoplanin	≤2	≤2	≤2	100.0/0.0	100.0 / 0.0	
Daptomycin	≤0.06 – 2	0.5	1	100.0/-	— / <b>-</b>	Daptomycin	≤0.06 – 4	1	2	100.0/-	- / -	
Linezolid	0.5 – 2	1	1	100.0/0.0	100.0 / 0.0	Linezolid	0.5 – 2	1	2	100.0 / 0.0	100.0/0.0	
Quinupristin/dalfopristin	>2	>2	>2	0.0 / 100.0	0.0 / 100.0	Quinupristin/dalfopristin	≤0.5 – >2	2	>2	7.7 / 48.7	7.7 / 30.8	
Levofloxacin	>4	>4	>4	0.0 / 100.0	_ / <b>-</b>	Levofloxacin	≤0.5−>4	2	4	84.6 / 5.1	_ / <b>-</b>	
Tetracycline	≤2 – >8	≤2	>8	50.0 / 50.0	— / <b>-</b>	Tetracycline	≤2 – >8	≤2	>8	74.4 / 25.6	— / <b>-</b>	
Vancomycin-susceptible E	faecium (383)					a. Criteria for susceptibility as	published by the CL	SI (M100-S	21, 2011) ar	nd EUCAST (201	1)	
Oritavancin	≤0.008 – 0.03	≤0.008	≤0.008	_ / <b>-</b>	_ / <b>-</b>	<ul> <li>recommendations.</li> <li>b. –, no breakpoint available.</li> <li>a lockdos E cassoliflavus (15 isolatos) and E collinarum (24 isolatos).</li> </ul>						
Ampicillin	≤1 – >8	>8	>8	14.4 / 85.6	14.1 / 85.6							
Vancomycin	0.25 – 4	1	1	100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0.0	c. includes L. casseillavas (1	5 isolales) and L. ga	illinai uni (2-	+ 1501a(65).			
Teicoplanin	≤2 – 4	≤2	≤2	100.0 / 0.0	99.7 / 0.3							
Daptomycin	0.12 – >8	2	4	99.7 / -	_ / <b>-</b>							
Linezolid	0.5 – >8	1	2	99.2/0.8	99.2 / 0.8							
Quinupristin/dalfopristin	≤0.5−>2	≤0.5	>2	72.1 / 15.7	72.1 / 11.7							
Levofloxacin	≤0.5−>4	>4	>4	15.4 / 77.5	— / <b>-</b>							
Tetracycline	≤2 – >8	≤2	>8	56.7 / 42.8	_ / <b>-</b>							

## **Results-2**

Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of oritavancin tested against vancomycin-susceptible and genetically characterized vancomycinresistant enterococcal clinical isolates causing bloodstream infections in USA and European hospitals.

	MIC (	ug/mL)	Number <sup>a</sup> (cumulative %) inhibited at MIC ( $\mu$ g/mL) of:							
)	50%	90%	≤0.008	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	
5)	0.015	0.03	435(34.1)	575(79.2)	211(95.8)	43(99.1)	7(99.7)	3(99.9)	1(100.0)	
	0.25	0.5	0(0.0)	1(3.7)	3(14.8)	3(25.9)	0(25.9)	15(81.5)	5(100.0)	
	0.015	0.015	1(10.0)	8(90.0)	0(90.0)	1(100.0)	-	-	-	
	≤0.008	≤0.008	374(97.7)	7(99.5)	2(100.0)	_	_	_	_	
	0.03	0.06	76(16.2)	74(31.9)	146(63.0)	133(91.3)	37(99.1)	4(100.0)	-	
	≤0.008	≤0.008	16(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	
arum (24)										
	≤0.008	0.015	34(87.2)	5(100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	

- studies

Depardieu F, Podglajen I, Leclercq R, Collatz E, Courvalin P (2007). Modes and modulations of antibiotic resistance gene expression. *Clin.* Microbiol. Rev. 20: 79-114.

Dutka-Malen S, Evers S, Courvalin P (1995). Detection of glycopeptide resistance genotypes and identification to the species level of clinically relevant enterococci by PCR. J. Clin. Microbiol. 33: 1434.

EUCAST (2011). Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 1.3, January 2011. Available at http://www.eucast.org/clinical\_breakpoints/. Accessed March 18, 2011.

Gu L, Cao B, Liu Y, Guo P, Song S, Li R, Dai H, Wang C (2009). A new *Tn*1546 type of VanB phenotype-*vanA* genotype vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium isolates in mainland China. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 63: 70-75.

Sava IG, Heikens E, Huebner J (2010). Pathogenesis and immunity in enterococcal infections. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 16: 533-540.

**Contact info:** Rodrigo Mendes, Ph.D. **JMI Laboratories** 345 Beaver Kreek Centre, Suite A North Liberty, IA 52317 USA Tel: 319-665-3370 ext 218 Email: rodrigo-mendes@jmilabs.com

#### Conclusions

• The present study highlights the emergence of *E. faecium* (38.5% of all enterococci) as an important pathogen responsible for BSI. This is a great concern given the higher antimicrobial resistance and mortality rate associated with this species.

 Two E. faecium strains from USA hospitals demonstrated a VanB phenotype-vanA genotype. Strains displaying these characteristics have been reported in the East Asia region (Japan, China, Korea and Taiwan). This appears to be the first report of such strains in the USA.

 The in vitro activity of oritavancin and comparator agents documented the pronounced oritavancin activity when tested against this collection of clinical isolates. Moreover, oritavancin demonstrated 4- to 128-fold greater potency than the active comparators, particularly when tested against VRE.

• This *in vitro* activity data suggests oritavancin as a promising agent for treating serious infections caused by vancomycinsusceptible and -resistant enterococci, pending further

## References

Arhin FF, Moeck G, Draghi DC, Pillar CM, Sahm DF (2010). Longitudinal analysis of the *in vitro* activity profile of oritavancin and comparator glycopeptides against Gram-positive organisms from Europe: 2005-2008. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 36: 474-476.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2009). *Methods for dilution* antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; M07-A8 Approved Standard: Eighth edition. Wayne, PA, USA: CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2011). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: 21<sup>st</sup> Informational Supplement M100-S21. Wayne, PA, USA: CLSI.